

TH

## IN THE UNITED STATES TENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

CCT 27 1982

Arun N. Netravali John D. Robbins

Case 18-5

Serial No. 253,698

Group Art Unit 233

Filed April 13, 1981

Examiner Robert L. Griffin

Title Video Signal Interpolation Using Motion Compensation

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WASHINGTON, D. C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Office action of July 26, 1982, please consider the following remarks.

## <u>Remarks</u>

Claims 1-24 were presented, and the Examiner has rejected claims 1-6, 10, 13-18, and 22-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over "Netravali et al". The Examiner has indicated that the remaining claims contain allowable subject matter, if presented in independent form. While the <u>particular</u> Netravali et al patent which forms the basis of the rejection was not identified as between the <u>three</u> Netravali et al references that are cited, the relationship of each patent to the invention described in the present application and defined by the rejected claims has carefully been considered. It is submitted that these references, taken singly and in combination, do not render the instant invention obvious. Accordingly, the rejection is respectfully traversed.